	Page 1					
1						
2	UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT					
3	DISTRICT OF DELAWARE					
4	Case No. 09-13931(KJC)					
5	x					
6	In the Matter of:					
7						
8	ADVANTA CORP., ET AL.,					
9						
10	Debtors.					
11						
12	x					
13						
14	United States Bankruptcy Court					
15	824 North Market Street					
16	Wilmington, Delaware					
17						
18	November 12, 2010					
19	4:02 PM					
20						
21	B E F O R E:					
22	HON. KEVIN J. CAREY					
23	U.S. BANKRUPTCY JUDGE					
24						
25	ECRO: NICKITA BARKSDALE					

	Page 2
1	
2	TELEPHONIC HEARING re Order Shortening Notice With Respect to
3	the Committee's Expedited Motion to Conduct Examinations of the
4	Debtors Pursuant to Rule 2004 of the Federal Rules of
5	Bankruptcy Procedure.
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

25

Transcribed by: Penina Wolicki

Page 4 PROCEEDINGS 1 2 THE CLERK: Court is now in session. THE COURT: Good afternoon. This is Judge Carey. 3 We're on the record in the Advanta Corp. Chapter 11 proceeding. I received today the unsecured creditors' committee's motion 5 6 for an order shortening notice with respect to its 2004 exam request. Let me turn the matter over to committee counsel. 7 Thank you, Your Honor. Robert Malionek MR. MALIONEK: 9 from Latham & Watkins on behalf of the committee. We can go 10 into the long background here if Your Honor would like us to. Basically, what we have here is a motion to extend exclusivity 11 that was filed by the debtors late last week. We haven't 12 13 objected to past motions to extend exclusivity because the debtors have always said -- they told the Court and they told 14 us that they would be submitting a plan that would be with the 15 16 consent of the committee. 17 But the debtors filed a plan recently, without the committee's support, and it's without our support for a few 18 19 very discrete reasons. What they all come down to --THE COURT: Mr. Malionek, let me ask you just to hold 20 on for a moment. There's some noise coming from the telephone 21 22 connection, which now seems to have stopped, blessedly. 23 may -- well, now it's back on, again. MR. MEYER: Your Honor? 24 25 THE COURT: Yes.

	Page 5					
1	MR. MEYER: Yeah, hi. I'm sorry. This is Bruce					
2	Meyer. I'm out of the office today. Obviously, we just got					
3	notice of the call today. I'm at home, and I think that's					
4	coming from my home phone. We're having a problem. We had a					
5	repairman here today, and he seems to have made it worse.					
6	So I wasn't sure whether you were going to be able to					
7	hear that or not.					
8	THE COURT: Well, do you have a cell					
9	MR. MEYER: I apologize.					
10	THE COURT: do you have a cell phone, Mr. Meyer.					
11	MR. MEYER: If it's too annoying, I can dial back on					
12	my cell phone.					
13	THE COURT: Dial back on your cell phone, please.					
14	We'll wait for you.					
15	MR. MEYER: Okay. Sorry about that.					
16	THE COURT: It's all right.					
17	We'll pause for a moment to let Mr. Meyer get back in.					
18	(Pause)					
19	MR. MEYER: Hello?					
20	THE COURT: Mr. Meyer?					
21	MR. MEYER: Yes, hi. Sorry about that.					
22	THE COURT: Welcome back.					
23	All right, Mr. Malionek, you may continue. And just,					
24	I will let you know, I did read the papers that were submitted.					
2.5	But continue, please.					

Page 6

MR. MALIONEK: Right, Your Honor. So we'll try to cut to the chase then.

The actions that the debtors have taken over the past few weeks and months relating to the plan all relate to what we say are improper attempts to benefit the claims of the controlling insiders of the debtors, Mr. Alter and Mr. Rosoff.

And we'd proposed several, what we think are mitigating actions that the debtors or their board could take with respect to how the plan gets advanced and what plan language is used. All of those have been rejected.

And we let the debtors know that we would be filing a motion to terminate exclusivity. And really what the debtors did is they beat us to the punch by filing their motion to extend. So it brings the issues to a head.

We served the 2004 motions and we met with the debtors earlier this week. We spoke to them on the phone and at length explained that the reasons we need the 2004 discovery, a few depositions and some documents that we requested, are all because of what we perceive as the debtors' attempt to leverage this plan process to use their exclusivity to extract concessions from the committee. And it will be -- if you've read the papers -- what we think great harm to the creditors and will benefit Mr. Alter and Mr. Rosoff and perhaps other insiders.

So we explained the rationale for that to the debtors.

2.1

	Page 7					
1	The debtors' response was we do not think that there's any					
2	discovery that's relevant here, and so we refuse to produce any					
3	documents to you other than perhaps some board meeting minute:					
4	and other materials. And we had been discussing potentially					
5	taking off the debtors' exclusivity motion, which is set for					
6	November 24th, and pushing that out in order to make more room					
7	here for the discovery. And the debtors have refused now to do					
8	that as well.					
9	So we come to the Court now looking for an expedited					
10	hearing date on our 2004 motion so that we can take discovery					
11	in connection with the hearing that's now set on the 24th.					
12	THE COURT: All right. I'll hear from the debtor.					
13	MR. MEYER: Yeah, Your Honor. It's Bruce Meyer. I					
14	appeared before Your Honor before in this case in connection					
15	with the motion for the employee incentive plan approval.					
16	First of all, we got these requests on November 9th.					
17	I think it was Tuesday. And then the motion was filed within					
18	twenty-four hours of it, on Wednesday. So we have not had a					
19	lot of time. I mean, as we understand it, the purpose of					
20	today's conference is really just to schedule a hearing on					
21	their motion. So I don't know how much you want to hear about					
22	the merits of it. I'm prepared to address the scheduling					
23	issue.					
24	THE COURT: Well, let's					
25	MR. MEYER: I mean, the bottom line and we will be					

Page 8

prepared shortly to put in a response to their motion, a substantive response. We can do that by Monday, Your Honor.

And that will go through in more detail a specific response to their various arguments and factual allegations, some of which we think are inaccurate.

I mean, the bottom line is, yes, we do think this discovery is irrelevant to the only motions which are currently before Your Honor, which are the exclusivity motion and the disclosure statement motion. We think that there's really no factual discovery that's necessary or relevant to those motions. And in any event, the discovery requests that they've served are just extremely overbroad and virtually impossible to accomplish on the expedited schedule that they've asked for.

But in any event, Your Honor, if I'm correct, and that the only purpose of today's call is to set a schedule to hear that motion, I'm prepared to address that. I mean, the bottom line is, as I say, we can put in a brief in response to their brief on Monday. And in terms of having a hearing on their 2004 motion, my bankruptcy partner, Mr. Lemons, Your Honor, he had a bit of a household accident last week and ended up with a broken rib and apparently a fractured vertebra. So he's been out for a few days. He tells me that he's seeing doctors on Monday but that he could participate in a hearing on Tuesday, Wednesday or Thursday. I think we would ask that we do that telephonically, but obviously that's up to Your Honor.

Page 9

MR. MALIONEK: Your Honor, if I may just be very brief? The reason that we're on such an expedited schedule is not -- it's not a problem of our own creation. The debtors' hearing on their exclusivity motion is set for the 24th. That means our objection to it, which continues to raise, then, these same exclusivity issues, which are issues that relate to cause for terminating exclusivity, our response is due on Wednesday of next week, on the 17th. And because of that, we are on this what may be a train wreck towards the 24th in terms of trying to get our discovery done.

But we would be happy to push that off. We do think that these issues are in some ways related to disclosure statement issues, in some ways. And there is a disclosure statement hearing that's set for December 16th. Although I simply note that that's at 3:30 in the afternoon. And I think with the issues that we have related to exclusivity and potentially the need for an evidentiary hearing with a few witnesses and what may be extensive argument, we might need to ask the Court for other times that Your Honor is available for us.

MR. MEYER: Your Honor, as far as that goes, I mean, if I could make a suggestion? Once Your Honor hears the 2004 motion, if Your Honor agrees with us and doesn't think any discovery at this time is appropriate, then we'll know we don't have to reschedule anything. If Your Honor feels that some

2.1

Page 10

discovery is appropriate, we can talk about whether or not we need to put off the exclusivity hearing. We certainly don't want to put off the disclosure statement hearing. But if it's just necessary to delay the exclusivity hearing, perhaps push it to the disclosure statement hearing, again, I think once we see whether Your Honor agrees that there's any discovery necessary, we would all be in a better position to address that.

I will also note, Your Honor -- I don't want to get into a sort of tit for tat, but we filed the disclosure statement and the exclusivity motion on November 2nd. So they waited a week to file their discovery requests, which is actually more time than they're actually giving us to answer them. So you know. But in any event, Your Honor, we would suggest that if Your Honor would agree that we could put in our brief on Monday, and then have a hearing at Your Honor's convenience next week.

MR. MALIONEK: Your Honor, we don't want to play tit for tat. Just on the scheduling issue, if there is going to be a hearing set on the 2004s next week, I think Mr. Meyer suggested Tuesday, Wednesday, Thursday. We do have our objection that is due on Wednesday. And all of these issues are interrelated. So I think what we would ask is to push off the response date for our objections and so that these issues could be addressed first. Or if the Court has time available

Page 11

for our 2004 hearing prior to Wednesday, then we would be able to address this issue in what we would hope to be in the proper order.

THE COURT: All right. We'll have a hearing on the committee's motion, Wednesday, November 17th at 10 o'clock.

Debtor can respond to the motion by 5 o'clock on Monday,

November 15th. With respect to the committee's request to extend its response time for the exclusivity motion, I'd be inclined to give you until Friday of next week, the 19th.

But I will tell you this, just as a general proposition. What the committee's pleading tells me is that plan discussions have broken down. Now, whether they can be resurrected or not, I don't know. I hope they can. Obviously, Mr. Meyer, you understand that in a company controlled by basically these two individuals and who've filed such a large claim, that it naturally would invite scrutiny by the committee. And I know you understand that. And now that the Court's aware of it, it focuses my attention on it as well.

And frankly, the case is just over a year old. I
don't see any magic about having a disclosure hearing on
December 16th or the exclusivity motion on the 24th. You know,
you've got the benefit of under a local rule, if that gets
pushed out, of not losing it, assuming the motion was timely
filed. And I'm not saying that I'm inclined to do anything
with any date. All I'm advising you is that to the extent that

	Page 12					
1	I am convinced at the hearing that the committee does need this					
2	information, I'll make whatever room for the committee as					
3	appropriate under the circumstances, even if it involves the					
4	moving of hearing dates.					
5	Are there any questions?					
6	MR. MALIONEK: Your Honor, this is Robert Malionek					
7	again. Just one question on scheduling. On prior to the					
8	2004 hearing, if we can have an opportunity to put in a brief					
9	reply after the debtors put in their objection 5 p.m. on					
10	Monday, if we could put something in on Tuesday, we could have					
11	it in by noon, and it would be no more than five pages.					
12	THE COURT: You'll tell me at the hearing.					
13	MR. MALIONEK: Okay, thank you, Your Honor.					
14	MR. MEYER: And, Your Honor, just to clarify. The					
15	hearing on Wednesday at 10, is that in person or telephonic?					
16	THE COURT: Well, I'll tell you what. Frankly, my					
17	inclination for most, what I'll just generally categorize as					
18	discovery disputes, would be to have them by telephone. But					
19	given the tone of the committee's motion here and what sounds					
20	to me from the debtor as adamant opposition, tells me I think					
21	I'd rather see you in person.					
22	MR. MEYER: That's fine, Your Honor. The only thing I					
23	would ask is, I need to talk to Mr. Lemon. He told me he was					
24	available Wednesday. And I think he was contemplating					
25	telephonic. I just need to check. I think he should be there.					

	Page 13					
1	And I just need to check whether physically, the train trip is					
2	going to be a problem for him.					
3	THE COURT: Yeah, I mean, I					
4	MR. MEYER: So if I could have the opportunity I					
5	mean, let's assume it'll go forward in person. And could I					
6	have the opportunity, Your Honor, to get back to you if Mr.					
7	Lemon says it's a medical problem for him?					
8	THE COURT: Well, one of you is going to have to be					
9	here. So if it's not him, then it's going to have to be you.					
L O	MR. MEYER: Okay. And then perhaps then we could					
11	if he can't make the trip, I'll go down and maybe we could hook					
12	him in by phone. Would that be acceptable?					
L3	THE COURT: Yeah, he can join by phone. But I will					
14	tell you and this gets confirmed from time to time					
15	regularly, and that is, I can't stop lawyers in the middle of a					
16	rant when they're on the telephone. And I'm not suggesting					
17	that he would engage in one. But that's why I don't permit					
L8	extensive argument by phone. It just doesn't work for me, even					
19	though I have many telephonic hearings.					
20	And this is one of those where I just I get the					
21	feeling that it's got the potential for counsel to be very,					
22	we'll just say, energetic about their respective positions.					
23	Okay?					
24	MR. MEYER: Understood. Understood, Your Honor.					
25	We'll see you on Wednesday. And if Mr. Lemon's on the phone,					

	Page 14					
1	he'll leave the ranting to me.					
2	THE COURT: All right. Any other questions?					
3	MR. MALIONEK: No, Your Honor, thank you.					
4	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you very much					
5	MR. MEYER: Bye-bye.					
6	THE COURT: that concludes this hearing. Have a					
7	good weekend everyone.					
8	MR. MALIONEK: You too, Your Honor. Thank you.					
9	THE COURT: Court is adjourned.					
L 0	(Whereupon these proceedings were concluded at 4:20 p.m.)					
L1						
L2						
L3						
L4						
L5						
L6						
L7						
L8						
L9						
20						
21						
22						
23						
24 25						
45						

	Page 15
1	
2	CERTIFICATION
3	
4	I, Penina Wolicki, certify that the foregoing transcript is a
5	true and accurate record of the proceedings.
6	
7	
8	
9	PENINA WOLICKI
10	
11	Veritext
12	200 Old Country Road
13	Suite 580
14	Mineola, NY 11501
15	
16	Date: November 16, 2010
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT District of Delaware

Chapter: 11

In Re:

Advanta Corp., et al., Welsh & McKean Roads P.O. Box 844

Spring House, PA 19477 **EIN:** 23–1462070

Teacher Service Organization, Inc.

TSO Financial Corp.

Case No.: 09-13931-KJC

NOTICE OF FILING OF TRANSCRIPT AND OF DEADLINES RELATED TO RESTRICTION AND REDACTION

A transcript of the proceeding held on 11/12/2010 was filed on 11/17/2010. The following deadlines apply:

The parties have 7 days to file with the court a *Notice of Intent to Request Redaction* of this transcript. The deadline for filing a *request for redaction* is 12/8/2010.

If a request for redaction is filed, the redacted transcript is due 12/20/2010.

If no such notice is filed, the transcript may be made available for remote electronic access upon expiration of the restriction period, which is 2/15/2011 unless extended by court order.

To review the transcript for redaction purposes, you may purchase a copy from the transcriber (see docket for Transcriber's information) or you may view the document at the clerk's office public terminal.

Clerk of Court

Date: 11/17/10

(ntc)

Notice Recipients

District/Off: 0311-1 User: Brandon Date Created: 11/17/2010

Case: 09–13931–KJC Form ID: ntcBK Total: 14

Recipients of Notice of Electronic Filing:

ust United States Trustee USTPREGION03.WL.ECF@USDOJ.GOV

aty Mark D. Collins collins@rlf.com, rbgroup@rlf.com aty Paul N. Heath heath@rlf.com, RBGroup@rlf.com

TOTAL: 3

Recipients submitted to the BNC (Bankruptcy Noticing Center):

db	Advanta Corp., et al.,	Welsh &McKean Roads	P.O. Box 844 Sp	ring House, PA 19477
aty	Bruce S. Meyer	Weil Gotshal &Manges LLP	767 Fifth Avenue	New York, NY 10153
aty	Christopher J. Cox	Weil, Gotshal & Manges LLP	201 Redwood Shores	s Parkway Redwood Shores,
·	CA 94065			•
aty	Chun I. Jang R	ichards, Layton & Finger, P.A.	920 North King Street	P.O. Box
·	551 Wilmingto	n, DE 19899	<u> </u>	
aty	Greg Kau Weil	, Gotshal & Manges LLP 767	Fifth Avenue New	York, NY 10153
aty	Marcie Kaufman	Weil, Gotshal & Manges LLP	767 Fifth Avenue	New York, NY 10153
aty	Miranda S. Schiller	Weil, Gotshal & Manges LLP	767 Fifth Avenue	New York, NY 10153
aty	Richard L. Levine	Weil, Gotshal & Manges LLP	767 Fifth Avenue	New York, NY 10153
aty	Scott M. Litvinoff	Weil, Gotshal & Manges LLP	767 Fifth Avenue	New York, NY 10153
aty	Zachary I Shapiro	Richards, Layton & Finger, P.A.	920 North King Str	reet, P.O. Box
•	551 Wilmingto	n, DE 19801	<u> </u>	
aty	Zachary I. Shapiro	Richards Layton & Finger, P.A.	920 North King Str	reet Wilmington, DE 19801

TOTAL: 11